

Note

**STEPWISE STABILITY CONSTANTS AND THERMODYNAMIC  
FUNCTIONS OF 4-(2-HYDROXYPHENYL)  
HYDRAZONO-N-BENZYL SULPHONYL-3-METHYL-2-PYRAZOLIN-5-  
ONE (HBSP) AND ITS METAL COMPLEXES**

RAJEEV JAIN \*, D.D. AGARWAL and A.K. JAIN

*Department of Chemistry, University of Roorkee, Roorkee (India)*

(Received 5 November 1980)

Pyrazolin-5-ones have been of interest because of their medicinal [1,2], analytical [3,4] and commercial importance [5]. Because of this, the metal complexes of pyrazolin-5-ones have been studied by various workers [6,7]. A literature survey reveals that HBSP, a potential antidiabetic compound [8], has not been studied from the viewpoint of coordination, so it was considered interesting to extend our study to determine the stability constants and thermodynamic functions of the complexes of  $\text{UO}_2^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$  with HBSP in a 75 : 25 (v/v) methanol–water mixture employing the Bjerrum–Calvin titration technique as modified by Irving and Rossotti [9,10].

EXPERIMENTAL

Metal salt solutions were prepared in double-distilled water and standardised. The reagent (HBSP) was prepared following the method described by Garg and Prakash [8]. Since HBSP is insoluble in water its stock solution (0.002 M) was prepared in methanol. pH values of the solutions were measured using an ECIL expanded scale pH meter with a glass and calomel electrode combination.

The experimental procedure involved the titration of the following carbonate-free solutions against standard sodium hydroxide (0.1 M).

(i) 4 ml 0.04 M  $\text{HClO}_4$  + 4 ml 1.0 M  $\text{NaClO}_4$  + 30 ml methanol + 2 ml water;

(ii) 4 ml 0.04 M  $\text{HClO}_4$  + 4 ml 1.0 M  $\text{NaClO}_4$  + 20 ml 0.002 M ligand + 10 ml methanol + 2 ml water;

(iii) 4 ml 0.04 M  $\text{HClO}_4$  + 4 ml 1.0 M  $\text{NaClO}_4$  + 20 ml 0.002 M ligand + 10 ml methanol + 1 ml 0.01 M metal salt solution + 1 ml water.

All the solutions were thermostated at 25, 30 and 35  $\pm$  0.1°C. The ligand : metal ratio was 4 : 1 in all the titrations.

\* Address for correspondence: 224, Khandaq Street, Meerut-250002, India.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The average number of protons associated with the ligand was determined from the acid–ligand titration curve employing the equation of Irving and Rossotti. The  $\bar{n}_A$  values were always found to be less than one. The value of  $\log K^H$  was obtained at half integral value. The proton ligand stability constants and their thermodynamic functions are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively, which show that  $\log K^H$  decreases with increase in temperature.

Values of  $\bar{n}$  of the metal complexes at various pH values were determined from the titration curves of solutions (ii) and (iii). From a knowledge of practical  $K^H$  values and  $\bar{n}$  values at a particular pH, the corresponding pL value was then calculated. The  $\bar{n}$  values are plotted against the corresponding pL values to give the formation curves of the metal complexation equilibria.  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  can be read directly from the formation curves using Bjerrum's half  $\bar{n}$  method. The least squares method [10] was used to correct the stability constants.

The metal ligand stability data,  $\log K_1$  ( $\pm 0.04$ ),  $\log K_2$  ( $\pm 0.05$ ) and  $\log \beta_2$  ( $\pm 0.09$ ), at various temperatures are reported in Table 1. In all the cases studied the values of  $\bar{n}$  were always less than two, showing the formation of only two complexes, viz. 1 : 1 and 1 : 2 complexes. The order of stability of bivalent and trivalent metal complexes at 25°C is found to be  $\text{Fe}^{3+} > \text{UO}_2^{2+} > \text{Cu}^{2+} > \text{Co}^{2+} > \text{Ni}^{2+} > \text{Fe}^{2+} > \text{Mn}^{2+}$ . The higher stability of the  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  complex as compared to that  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$  may be attributed to Jahn–Teller distortion present in the former case. At 30 and 35°C the order of stability is in accordance with the Irving–Williams rule [11].

The higher stability of the Fe(III) complex as compared to that of bivalent metal complexes is justified on the basis of the charge and ionisation potential of ions [12]. The overall changes in free energy, enthalpy and entropy have also been evaluated using standard expressions [10]. The values of  $\log \beta_2$  obtained at different temperatures were plotted as a function of  $1/T$ . The gradient was taken to  $-H/4.57$ ; wherever a linear plot was not obtained a tangent was drawn to obtain the slope. The values of thermo-

TABLE 1

Stability constants of metal complexes with HBSP at different temperatures (at  $\mu = 0.1 \text{ M}$  ( $\text{NaClO}_4$ ))

Cations	25°C			30°C			35°C		
	$\log K_1$	$\log K_2$	$\log \beta_2$	$\log K_1$	$\log K_2$	$\log \beta_2$	$\log K_1$	$\log K_2$	$\log \beta_2$
$\text{H}^+$			8.60			8.40			8.10
$\text{Fe}^{3+}$	7.90	5.80	13.70	7.55	5.70	13.25	7.40	5.35	12.75
$\text{UO}_2^{2+}$	7.55	5.65	13.20	7.30	5.45	12.75	7.10	5.24	12.30
$\text{Cu}^{2+}$	7.30	5.56	12.86	7.15	5.30	12.45	6.85	5.15	12.00
$\text{Ni}^{2+}$	6.75	5.00	11.75	6.65	4.80	11.45	6.40	4.65	11.05
$\text{Co}^{2+}$	6.90	4.95	11.85	6.48	4.65	11.13	6.30	4.45	10.75
$\text{Fe}^{2+}$	6.35	4.85	11.20	6.15	4.55	10.70	5.95	4.15	10.10
$\text{Mn}^{2+}$	5.65	4.66	10.31	5.40	4.25	9.65	5.25	4.00	9.25

TABLE 2

Values of thermodynamic functions of metal complexes with HBSP at 30°C

Cations	$-\Delta G$ (kcal mole <sup>-1</sup> )			$-\Delta H$ (kcal mole <sup>-1</sup> )			$-\Delta S$ (cal mole <sup>-1</sup> )		
	$\Delta G_1$	$\Delta G_2$	$\Delta G^0$	$\Delta H_1$	$\Delta H_2$	$\Delta H^0$	$\Delta S_1$	$\Delta S_2$	$\Delta S^0$
H <sup>+</sup>			11.65			21.76			33.37
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	10.45	7.90	18.37	19.04	20.77	41.54	28.29	42.48	76.48
UO <sub>2</sub> <sup>2+</sup>	10.12	7.56	17.68	19.87	17.58	39.81	32.18	33.07	73.04
Cu <sup>2+</sup>	9.91	7.35	17.26	15.76	17.58	38.08	19.36	33.76	68.70
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	9.22	6.66	15.88	15.23	15.87	31.56	26.45	30.39	51.75
Co <sup>2+</sup>	8.98	6.45	15.43	21.76	21.76	48.21	42.16	50.53	108.18
Fe <sup>2+</sup>	8.53	6.31	14.84	17.58	26.88	45.70	29.87	67.89	101.85
Mn <sup>2+</sup>	7.49	5.89	13.38	15.76	27.73	45.70	27.29	72.08	106.67

dynamic functions are summarised in Table 2. It is seen that  $\Delta G$  values are negative, showing that complex formation is spontaneous. The negative values of  $\Delta H$  and  $\Delta S$  also indicate that complex formation is accompanied by liberation of energy and is an enthalpy directed process.

Potentiometric studies suggest the formation of 1 : 1 and 1 : 2 complexes and thermodynamic studies point towards an enthalpy-directed process.

## REFERENCES

- 1 N.B. Das and A.S. Mitra, *Indian J. Chem.*, 16B (1978) 638.
- 2 H.G. Garg and C. Prakash, *J. Med. Chem.*, 14 (1971) 175.
- 3 Ajoy Roy and K. Nag, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 40 (1978) 331.
- 4 M.Y. Mirza and R.T. Bailey, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 41 (1979) 772.
- 5 R.H. Wiley and P. Wiley, *Pyrazolones, Pyrazolidones and Derivatives*, John Wiley, New York, 1964.
- 6 A.K. Nurtaeva, I.P. Efimov and V.M. Peshkova, *Zh. Anal. Khim.*, 32 (9) (1977) 1735.
- 7 F.A. Snavely, M.N. Rosenblum, P.S. Danielson and R.L. Rill, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 33 (1971) 455.
- 8 H.G. Garg and C. Prakash, *Indian J. Chem.*, 9 (1971) 801.
- 9 H.M. Irving and H.S. Rossotti, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1954) 2904.
- 10 H.M. Irving and H.S. Rossotti, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1953) 3397.
- 11 H. Irving and R.J.P. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1953) 3192.
- 12 B.S. Sekhon, S.S. Parmar, S.K. Pushkarma and S.L. Chopra, *Indian J. Chem.*, 11 (1973) 836.